

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO DISALLOW AND EXPUNGE CERTAIN
 FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. PARTIES RECEIVING THIS NOTICE OF
 TWO HUNDRED FOURTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS
 SHOULD REVIEW THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO SEE IF THEIR
 NAME(S) AND/OR CLAIM(S) ARE LOCATED IN THE OMNIBUS
 OBJECTION AND/OR IN THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED THERETO TO
 DETERMINE WHETHER THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS THEIR
 CLAIM(S).**

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT
 DEBTORS' COUNSEL, LEE J. GOLDBERG, AT 212-310-8928.**

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
 767 Fifth Avenue
 New York, New York 10153
 Telephone: (212) 310-8000
 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
 Robert J. Lemons

Attorneys for Debtors
 and Debtors in Possession

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
In re	: Chapter 11 Case No.
	:
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	: 08-13555 (JMP)
	:
Debtors.	: (Jointly Administered)
-----X	

**NOTICE OF HEARING ON DEBTORS' TWO HUNDRED FOURTH
 OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY DERIVATIVES CLAIMS)**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on September 13, 2011, Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and its affiliated debtors in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors"), filed their two hundred fourth omnibus objection to claims (the "Debtors' Two Hundred Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims"), and

that a hearing (the “Hearing”) to consider the Debtors’ Two Hundred Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims will be held before the Honorable James M. Peck, United States Bankruptcy Judge, in Courtroom 601 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, on **October 27, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time)**, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responses to the Debtors’ Two Hundred Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims must be in writing, shall conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court, and shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court (a) electronically in accordance with General Order M-399 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov) by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court’s filing system, and (b) by all other parties in interest, on a 3.5 inch disk, preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format (with a hard copy delivered directly to Chambers), in accordance with General Order M-182 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov), and served in accordance with General Order M-399, and on (i) the chambers of the Honorable James M. Peck, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, Courtroom 601; (ii) attorneys for the Debtors, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153 (Attn: Robert J. Lemons, Esq. and Lee J. Goldberg, Esq.); (iii) the Office of the United States Trustee for Region 2, 33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10004 (Attn: Tracy Hope Davis, Esq., Elisabetta Gasparini, Esq., and Andrea B. Schwartz, Esq.); and (iv) attorneys for the official committee of unsecured creditors appointed in these cases, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP, 1 Chase Manhattan Plaza, New York, New York 10005 (Attn: Dennis F. Dunne, Esq., Dennis O’Donnell, Esq., and Evan Fleck, Esq.); so as to be so filed and received by no later than **October 13, 2011 at 4:00**

p.m. (Eastern Time) (the “Response Deadline”).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if no responses are timely filed and served with respect to the Debtors’ Two Hundred Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims or any claim set forth thereon, the Debtors may, on or after the Response Deadline, submit to the Bankruptcy Court an order substantially in the form of the proposed order annexed to the Debtors’ Two Hundred Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims, which order may be entered with no further notice or opportunity to be heard offered to any party.

Dated: September 13, 2011
New York, New York

/s/ Robert J. Lemons

Robert J. Lemons

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Debtors
and Debtors in Possession

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007
Robert J. Lemons

Attorneys for Debtors and
Debtors in Possession

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
In re : Chapter 11 Case No.
 :
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., *et al.*, : 08-13555 (JMP)
 :
Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)
-----X

**DEBTORS' TWO HUNDRED FOURTH OMNIBUS
OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY DERIVATIVES CLAIMS)**

**THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO DISALLOW AND EXPUNGE CERTAIN
FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. PARTIES RECEIVING THIS TWO
HUNDRED FOURTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS SHOULD
REVIEW THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO SEE IF THEIR NAME(S)
AND/OR CLAIM(S) ARE LOCATED IN THE OMNIBUS OBJECTION
AND/OR IN THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED THERETO TO DETERMINE
WHETHER THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS THEIR CLAIM(S).**

**IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT
DEBTORS' COUNSEL, LEE J. GOLDBERG, AT 212-310-8928.**

TO THE HONORABLE JAMES M. PECK
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. ("LBHI") and its affiliated debtors, in the above-
referenced chapter 11 cases, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors"),
respectfully represent:

Relief Requested

1. The Debtors file this two hundred fourth omnibus objection to claims (the “Two Hundred Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims”) pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and this Court’s order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim filed in these chapter 11 cases (the “Procedures Order”) [ECF No. 6664], seeking to disallow and expunge the claims listed on Exhibit A annexed hereto.

2. The Debtors have examined the proofs of claim identified on Exhibit A (collectively, the “No Liability Derivatives Claims”) and have determined that the No Liability Derivatives Claims should be disallowed and expunged on the basis that they provide no basis of liability as to the Debtors. After a review of the claimant’s supporting documentation and the Debtors’ books and records, the Debtors have determined that, based on the fair, accurate, and reasonable values of the subject Derivatives Contracts (as defined herein) and the netting provisions thereunder, the Debtors do not owe any amounts to the claimants but rather, in most cases, the respective claimants actually owe money to the Debtors based on such Derivatives Contracts. Therefore, the No Liability Derivatives Claims do not constitute valid *prima facie* claims, and the Debtors request they be disallowed and expunged in their entirety.

3. The Debtors reserve all their rights to object on any basis to any No Liability Derivatives Claim as to which the Court does not grant the relief requested herein.

Jurisdiction

4. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

Background

5. Commencing on September 15, 2008 and periodically thereafter, LBHI and certain of its subsidiaries commenced with this Court voluntary cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors' chapter 11 cases have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b). The Debtors are authorized to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

6. On September 17, 2008, the United States Trustee for Region 2 (the "U.S. Trustee") appointed the statutory committee of unsecured creditors pursuant to section 1102 of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Creditors' Committee").

7. On January 19, 2009, the U.S. Trustee appointed Anton R. Valukas as Examiner in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the "Examiner") and by order, dated January 20, 2009 [ECF No. 2583], the Court approved the U.S. Trustee's appointment of the Examiner. The Examiner has filed his report on March 11, 2010 pursuant to section 1106(b) of the Bankruptcy Code [ECF No. 7531].

8. On July 2, 2009, this Court entered an order setting forth procedures for filing proofs of claim in these chapter 11 cases, including procedures for filing proofs of claim and supporting documentation for claims based on Derivatives Contracts¹ (the "Bar Date Order") [ECF No. 4271]. The Bar Date Order provided that "each holder of a claim against a Debtor based on amounts owed pursuant to any Derivative Contract must: . . . complete the electronic Derivative Questionnaire [and] electronically upload supporting documentation on the website" (Bar Date Order at 7) The Bar Date Order further provided that "each holder of a

¹ "Derivative Contract" is defined in the Bar Date Order as meaning "any contract that is of (i) a 'swap agreement' as such term is defined in section 101(53B) of the Bankruptcy Code or (ii) a 'forward contract' as such term is defined in section 101(25) of the Bankruptcy Code" (See Bar Date Order at 6).

claim against a Debtor based on a Guarantee by a Debtor of the obligations of a non-Debtor entity under a Derivative Contract must [also]: . . . complete the electronic Guarantee Questionnaire and electronically upload supporting documentation on the website” (*Id.* at 8.) A copy of the Bar Date Order was made publicly available at <http://www.lehman-docket.com>.

9. Exhibit C to the Bar Date Order was a version of the Derivative Questionnaire, which required that the claimant provide various information in support of its claim, such as copies of relevant agreements; a copy of the termination notice; a valuation statement; individual trade-level detail; trade value methodology and quotations; and unpaid amounts, collateral, and other costs associated with the claim pursuant to the Derivatives Contract. Also attached to the Bar Date Order was Exhibit D, a version of the Guarantee Questionnaire setting forth the information forming the basis of the claimant’s assertions of a guarantee claim.

10. On January 14, 2010, the Court entered the Procedures Order, which authorizes the Debtors, among other things, to file omnibus objections to no more than 500 claims at a time, on various grounds, including those set forth in Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) and those additional grounds set forth in the Procedures Order.

The No Liability Derivatives Claims Should Be Disallowed and Expunged

11. In reviewing the claims filed on the claims register in these cases and maintained by the Court-appointed claims agent, the Debtors have identified the No Liability Derivatives Claims as claims that should be disallowed and expunged on the basis that they provide no basis of liability as to the Debtors. The Derivatives Contracts that underlie the No Liability Derivatives Claims have either been terminated or matured pursuant to the terms thereof. After a review of the claimants’ supporting documentation and the Debtors’ books and

records, the Debtors have determined that, based on the fair, accurate, and reasonable values of the subject Derivatives Contracts and the netting provisions thereunder, the Debtors do not owe any amounts to the claimants but rather either no amounts are owed under the Derivatives Contracts to either party or the respective claimants actually owe money to the Debtors based on such Derivatives Contracts, and, therefore, the No Liability Derivatives Claims do not constitute valid *prima facie* claims.

12. A filed proof of claim is “deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). If an objection refuting at least one of the claim’s essential allegations is asserted, the claimant has the burden to demonstrate the validity of the claim. *See In re Oneida Ltd.*, 400 B.R. 384, 389 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009); *In re Adelphia Commc’ns Corp.*, Ch. 11 Case No. 02-41729 (REG), 2007 Bankr. LEXIS 660 at *15 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Feb. 20, 2007); *In re Rockefeller Ctr. Props.*, 272 B.R. 524, 539 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2000).

13. The Debtors have developed and currently utilize a thorough, multi-step process to review claims filed against the Debtors based on a Derivatives Contract (“Derivatives Claims”) in order to determine the fair, accurate, and reasonable value, if any, of such claims or, alternatively, the monetary recovery due to the Debtors with respect to the subject Derivatives Contract. In order to determine the amounts due under a Derivatives Contract, the Debtors:

(i) collect and review documents related to the relevant Derivatives Claim including, but not limited to, the relevant Derivatives Questionnaire and/or Guarantee Questionnaire, the termination notice, and the valuation statement; (ii) reconcile posted collateral and any cash payments already received, made, or missed; and (iii) review the valuation methodology used by the claimant to determine the value of the claim, including verifying the legitimacy of quotes provided by the claimant in connection with its valuation statement, reviewing claimant’s “loss”

calculation, and evaluating any set-off claims.² Furthermore, the Debtors engage in, to the extent the holder is willing to so engage, lengthy negotiations with the holder of the Derivatives Claim that are often very detailed and may extend over a period of months.

14. The Debtors have undertaken this lengthy process with respect to each of the No Liability Derivatives Claims and concluded that a fair, accurate, and reasonable valuation of the No Liability Derivatives Claims demonstrates that the Debtors do not owe any of the claimants money and that either no amounts are owed to either party or the claimant in fact owes the Debtors money. Accordingly, the Debtors request that the Court disallow and expunge in their entirety the No Liability Derivatives Claims listed on Exhibit A.

15. In an effort to resolve differences between the Debtors and claimants asserting No Liability Derivatives Claims and because the subject Derivatives Contracts mostly constitute Derivatives Contracts for which the Debtors are owed money, the Debtors expect in many instances to commence alternative dispute resolution procedures approved by this Court in either (i) the *Affirmative Dispute Resolution Procedures Order for Affirmative Claims of Debtors Under Derivatives Contracts*, dated September 17, 2009 [ECF No. 5207] or (ii) the *Order Pursuant to Section 105 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 9014, and General Order M-390 Authorizing the Debtors to Implement Claims Hearing Procedures and Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures for Claims Against Debtors*, dated April 19, 2010 [ECF No. 8474], as applicable.

² For a more comprehensive discussion of the valuation process, please see the Declaration of Gary H. Mandelblatt in Support of Debtors' Motion Pursuant to Section 502(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c)(3) for Establishment of the Deadline for Filing Proofs of Claim, Approval of the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof and Approval of the Proof of Claim Form (attached as "Exhibit C" to Debtors' Omnibus Reply to Objections to Motion of the Debtors, Pursuant to Section 502(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c)(3), for Establishment of the Deadline for Filing Proofs of Claim, Approval of the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof and Approval of the Proof of Claim Form [ECF No. 4113]).

Notice

16. No trustee has been appointed in these chapter 11 cases. The Debtors have served notice of this Two Hundred Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims on (i) the U.S. Trustee; (ii) the attorneys for the Creditors' Committee; (iii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iv) the Internal Revenue Service; (v) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (vi) each claimant listed on Exhibit A, and (vii) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010 governing case management and administrative procedures for these cases [ECF No. 9635]. The Debtors submit that no other or further notice need be provided.

17. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Debtors to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE the Debtors respectfully request entry of an order granting the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: September 13, 2011
New York, New York

/s/ Robert J. Lemons
Robert J. Lemons

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Debtors
and Debtors in Possession

Exhibit A

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 204: EXHIBIT A - NO LIABILITY CLAIMS

	NAME	CASE NUMBER	DEBTOR NAME	FILED DATE	CLAIM #	TOTAL CLAIM DOLLARS	REASON FOR PROPOSED DISALLOWANCE
1	AMORTIZING RESIDENTIAL COLLATERAL TRUST, MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2002-BC1 MARTIN FEIG - VICE PRESIDENT THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON, AS TRUSTEE FOR CREDITOR 1101 BARCLAY STREET; 8 WEST NEW YORK, NY 10286	08-13555 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	09/17/2009	16492	Undetermined	No Liability Claim
2	AVIVA LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY N/K/A AVIVA LIFE AND ANNUITY COMPANY AVIVA INVESTORS NORTH AMERICAN, INC. 699 WALNUT STREET, SUITE 1700 DES MOINES, IA 50309	08-13555 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	05/05/2009	4221	\$780,660.00	No Liability Claim
3	AVIVA LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY N/K/A AVIVA LIFE AND ANNUITY COMPANY C/O AVIVA INVESTORS NORTH AMERICA, INC. 699 WALNUT STREET, SUITE 17000 DES MOINES, IA 50309	08-13888 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	12/16/2009	65961	\$696,900.00	No Liability Claim
4	CHRISTIAN CARE HOLDING CO., INC. ATTN: JOHN NORRIS 2002 WEST SUNNYSIDE DRIVE PHOENIX, AZ 85029	08-13888 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	09/14/2009	12275	\$327,890.00	No Liability Claim

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 204: EXHIBIT A - NO LIABILITY CLAIMS

	NAME	CASE NUMBER	DEBTOR NAME	FILED DATE	CLAIM #	TOTAL CLAIM DOLLARS	REASON FOR PROPOSED DISALLOWANCE
5	DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY AS TRUSTEE OF STRUCTURED ASSET INVESTMENT LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-1 C/O RICHARD C. PEDONE, ESQ AND AMANDA D. DARWIN, ESQ. NIXON PEABODY LLP BOSTON, MA 02110	08-13888 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	09/18/2009	18470	Undetermined	No Liability Claim
6	DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY AS TRUSTEE OF STRUCTURED ASSET INVESTMENT LOAN TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2004-1 C/O RICHARD C. PEDONE, ESQ. & AMANDA D. DARWIN, ESQ. NIXON PEABODY LLP 100 SUMMER STREET BOSTON, MA 02110	08-13555 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	09/18/2009	18471	Undetermined	No Liability Claim
7	HSBC BANK USA, NA, AS TRUSTEE FOR STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2004- 14 CTLA-STRUCTURED FINANCE ATTN: FERNANDO ACEBEDO 10 EAST 40TH STREET, 14TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016	08-13555 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	09/22/2009	28530	Undetermined	No Liability Claim

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 204: EXHIBIT A - NO LIABILITY CLAIMS

	NAME	CASE NUMBER	DEBTOR NAME	FILED DATE	CLAIM #	TOTAL CLAIM DOLLARS	REASON FOR PROPOSED DISALLOWANCE
8	MASSACHUSETTS BAY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY C/O COLLEEN A MURPHY ESQ MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C. ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111	08-13888 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc.	09/22/2009	30547	Undetermined	No Liability Claim
9	NJ HOUSING AND MORTGAGE FINANCE AGENCY ATTN: TODD J. EVANS. DIRECTOR OF CAPITAL MARKETS 637 SOUTH CLINTON AVENUE TRENTON, NJ 08611	08-13899 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Derivative Products Inc.	09/21/2009	23861	Undetermined	No Liability Claim
10	NJ HOUSING AND MORTGAGE FINANCE AGENCY ATTN: TODD J. EVANS. DIRECTOR OF CAPITAL MARKETS 637 SOUTH CLINTON AVENUE TRENTON, NJ 08611	08-13899 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Derivative Products Inc.	09/21/2009	23862	Undetermined	No Liability Claim
11	NJ HOUSING AND MORTGAGE FINANCE AGENCY ATTN: TODD J. EVANS. DIRECTOR OF CAPITAL MARKETS 637 SOUTH CLINTON AVENUE TRENTON, NJ 08611	08-13899 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Derivative Products Inc.	09/21/2009	23863	Undetermined	No Liability Claim
12	NUVEEN ENHANCED MULTI- STRATEGY INCOME MANAGED ACCOUNTS PORTFOLIO C/O NUVEEN ASSET MANAGEMENT ATTN: KEVIN MCCARTHY - GENERAL COUNSEL 333 WEST WACKER DRIVE, 33RD FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606	08-13555 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	09/21/2009	24086	\$12,647.45*	No Liability Claim

* - Indicates claim contains unliquidated and/or undetermined amounts

IN RE LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL., CASE NO: 08-13555 (JMP)

OMNIBUS OBJECTION 204: EXHIBIT A - NO LIABILITY CLAIMS

	NAME	CASE NUMBER	DEBTOR NAME	FILED DATE	CLAIM #	TOTAL CLAIM DOLLARS	REASON FOR PROPOSED DISALLOWANCE
13	PENN MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY ATTN: JOSHUA MYERS 600 DRESHER ROAD, C1A HORSHAM, PA 19044	08-13555 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	09/21/2009	23555	\$30,545,128.03*	No Liability Claim
14	U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION CORPORATE TRUST SERVICES ATTN: TIMOTHY PILLAR, VP EP-MN-WS1D, 60 LIVINGSTON AVENUE ST. PAUL, MN 55107-2292	08-13555 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	09/22/2009	31045	Undetermined	No Liability Claim
15	URUGRAIN S.A. C/O SEWARD & KISSEL LLP ATTN: RONALD L COHEN ESQ ONE BATTERY PARK PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10004-1485	08-13555 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	09/18/2009	33308	\$115,793.04*	No Liability Claim
16	URUGRAIN S.A. C/O SEWARD & KISSEL LLP ATTN: RONALD L COHEN ESQ ONE BATTERY PARK PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10004-1485	08-13901 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Commercial Corporation	09/18/2009	33316	\$115,793.04*	No Liability Claim
17	WELLS FARGO BANK, NA AS TRUSTEE OF THE STRUCTURED ADJUSTABLE RATE MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2004- 20 ATTN: MARY SOHLBERG MAC N9311- 161 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55479	08-13555 (JMP)	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.	09/21/2009	25704	\$2,876.93*	No Liability Claim
TOTAL						\$32,597,688.49	

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
In re : **Chapter 11 Case No.**
 :
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al., : **08-13555 (JMP)**
 :
Debtors. : **(Jointly Administered)**
-----X

**ORDER GRANTING DEBTORS' TWO HUNDRED FOURTH
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY DERIVATIVES CLAIMS)**

Upon the two hundred fourth omnibus objection to claims, dated September 13, 2011 (the "Two Hundred Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims"),¹ of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. and its affiliated debtors in the above-referenced chapter 11 cases, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors"), pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and this Court's order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim [ECF No. 6664] (the "Procedures Order"), seeking disallowance and expungement of the No Liability Derivatives Claims on the grounds that they assert claims for which the Debtors have no liability, all as more fully described in the Two Hundred Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims; and due and proper notice of the Two Hundred Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims having been provided to (i) the U.S. Trustee; (ii) the attorneys for the Creditors' Committee; (iii) the Securities and Exchange Commission; (iv) the Internal Revenue Service; (v) the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; (vi) the claimants listed on Exhibit A attached to the Two Hundred Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims; and (vii) all other parties entitled to notice in accordance with the procedures set forth in the second amended order entered on June 17, 2010 governing case management and administrative

¹ Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Debtors' Two Hundred Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims.

procedures for these cases [ECF No. 9635]; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Two Hundred Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Two Hundred Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Two Hundred Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the claims listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto are disallowed and expunged in their entirety with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order supersedes all previous orders regarding the No Liability Derivatives Claims listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order has no res judicata, estoppel, or other effect on the validity, allowance, or disallowance of, and all rights to object and defend on any basis are expressly reserved with respect to, any claim listed on Exhibit A annexed to the Two Hundred Fourth Omnibus Objection to Claims that is not listed on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: _____, 2011
New York, New York

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE